

1 Floyd W. Bybee, #012651  
2 **BYBEE LAW CENTER, PLC**  
3 90 S. Kyrene Rd., Ste. 5  
4 Chandler, AZ 85226-4687  
Office: (480) 756-8822  
Fax: (480) 302-4186  
floyd@bybeelaw.com

5 || Attorney for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

## I. Preliminary Statement

19 1. Plaintiffs bring this action for damages based upon Defendant's viola-  
20 tions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C.  
21 §§ 1692 *et seq.* Plaintiffs seek to recover statutory damages, actual  
22 damages, costs and attorney's fees.

## II. JURISDICTION

24 2. Jurisdiction of this Court, over this action and the parties herein, arises  
25 under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) (FDCPA), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Venue

lies in the Prescott Division of the District of Arizona as Plaintiffs' claims arose from acts of the Defendant perpetrated therein.

### III. PARTIES

4 3. Plaintiffs reside in Yavapai County, Arizona.

5 4. Plaintiffs are natural persons who were allegedly obligated to pay a

6 debt.

7 5. Plaintiffs are “consumers” as that term is defined by FDCPA §

8 1692a(3).

9 6. Defendant Gurstel Charge, P.A. (hereinafter “Gurstel”) is a Minnesota

10 corporation doing business within the State of Arizona as a collection

11 law firm.

12 7. Gurstel collects or attempts to collect debts owed or asserted to be

13 owed or due another.

14 8. Gurstel is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by FDCPA §

15 1692a(6).

#### **IV. Factual Allegations**

17 9. Sometime prior to 2009, Plaintiffs incurred a debt through the use of a  
18 credit card account with Chase Bank USA, N.A.

19 10. The Chase credit card was used primarily for personal, family, or  
20 household purposes.

21 11. Plaintiffs subsequently defaulted on the Chase credit card account.

22 12. Sometime in 2010, Chase hired Gurstel to bring legal action against  
23 Plaintiffs to collect on the credit card account.

24 13. On May 17, 2010, Gurstel filed suit on behalf of Chase in the Yavapai  
25 County Superior Court seeking to collect the defaulted credit card

1 account.

2 14. During the lawsuit, Plaintiffs and Gurstel reached a settlement and  
3 entered into a stipulated judgment. (Copy attached as Exhibit A).

4 15. Under the stipulated judgment, Gurstel would debit Plaintiffs' bank  
5 account in the amount of \$862.00 each month beginning on July 10,  
6 2010 and continuing until the total principal amount of \$22,942.80 was  
7 paid.

8 16. The stipulated judgment also provided credit for Plaintiffs' prior  
9 payment of \$2,300.00.

10 17. Upon information and belief, Gurstel began debiting Plaintiffs' bank  
11 account on July 10, 2010 and continued to do so through July 10, 2012.

12 18. Upon information and belief, Gurstel debited Plaintiffs' bank account  
13 each and every month in the amount of \$862.50, rather than the agreed  
14 upon amount of \$862.00.

15 19. Upon information and belief, immediately prior to each debit, Gurstel  
16 mailed Plaintiffs a "Payment Reminder" notice notifying them of the  
17 upcoming debit for the month.

18 20. On or about July 2, 2012, Gurstel sent Plaintiffs a "Payment Reminder"  
19 stating that the amount of \$399.80 would be debited from Plaintiffs'  
20 bank account on July 10, 2012. (Copy attached as Exhibit B).

21 21. On or about July 10, 2012, Gurstel generated a check in the amount of  
22 \$862.50, rather than the \$399.80 noticed in its letter, and withdrew  
23 \$862.50 from Plaintiffs' bank account on July 12, 2012. (Copy of  
24 check attached as Exhibit C).

25 22. No further debits were made to Plaintiffs' bank account.

- 1 23. On or about January 8, 2013, Gurstel sent Plaintiff Kristen Graham a
- 2 letter stating that a Satisfaction of Judgment was enclosed, and that the
- 3 judgment had been fully satisfied, but no satisfaction of judgment was
- 4 enclosed with the letter.
- 5 24. Within the year immediately prior to the filing of this action, upon
- 6 information and belief, Gurstel withdrew more money from Plaintiffs'
- 7 bank account that authorized by the stipulated judgment entered into by
- 8 the parties in July 2010.
- 9 25. As a result and proximate cause of Defendant's actions as set forth
- 10 herein, Plaintiffs have suffered actual damages.

## 11 **V. Causes of Action**

### 12 **a. Fair Debt Collection Practices Act**

- 13 26. Plaintiffs repeat, reallege, and incorporate by reference the foregoing
- 14 paragraphs.
- 15 27. Defendant's violations of the FDCPA include, but are not necessarily
- 16 limited to, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(10), 1692f(1), and 1692f(2).
- 17 28. As a direct result and proximate cause of Defendant's actions in
- 18 violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiffs have suffered actual damages.

## 19 **VI. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

20 Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial on all issues so triable.

## 21 **VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

22 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that judgment be entered against

23 Defendant for:

- 24 a) Actual damages under the FDCPA;
- 25 b) Statutory damages under the FDCPA;

1       c)    Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to the FDCPA; and  
2       d)    Such other relief as may be just and proper.

3

4       DATED April 3, 2013 .

5

s/ Floyd W. Bybee  
Floyd W. Bybee, #012651  
**BYBEE LAW CENTER, PLC**  
90 S. Kyrene Rd., Ste. 5  
Chandler, AZ 85226-4687  
Office: (480) 756-8822  
Fax: (480) 302-4186  
floyd@bybeelaw.com

6

7       Attorney for Plaintiffs

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25